ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this study is to correlate DEXA and EDX in assessment the variations in chemical composition in terms of the main elements comprising the bone mineral (calcium (Ca), and phosphorus (P)) after application of Nano bone for Repair of bone defect. Subjects and Methods: A bone drill defect was created in the right tibia (two holes in each tibia) of 48 rabbits; upper hole was left empty while the lower hole was packed with NanoBone. 24 animals were sacrificed 2 weeks after surgery; the remaining animals were sacrificed after 4 weeks. Half of the bone specimens prepared for the routine laboratory processing, histological and histomorphometric, while The remaining specimens were scanned by Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) for bone mineral density measurement and then used for bone mineral composition determination by Energy Dispersive X-ray Analyses (EDX). The measurements of DEXA and EDX have been compared after that, data were submitted to ANOVA and Tukey’s test. Results: DEXA showed a strong positive correlation with EDX and histological analysis. Conclusion: Energy-dispersive X-ray microanalysis (EDX) is a useful quantitative tool for the analysis of Ca and P in bone in a non-invasive way.

INTRODUCTION

Bone is a specialized connective tissue undergo remodeling and rebuilding throughout an individual life. It is a scaffold of the body which is responsible for support, locomotion, protection and load bearing. In addition, it is responsible for hematopoiesis, mineral homeostasis (1).

The unmineralized phase or osteoid consists mainly of organic component which accounts for approximately 25% of the weight of bone matrix. It includes type I collagen (~90%) and other non-collagenous proteins (for example, sialoprotein and osteopontin). The non-collagenous proteins and proteoglycans account for a small total weight of organic component, though they still have an important role in osteoblast differentiation and tissue mineralization (2). The mineralized phase of the bone is composed of hydroxyl-apatite [Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂] in...
a crystalline form. Structurally specific for bone. However, with a variable crystallinity depending on the stage in the mineralization process and age. In addition, a number of other elements are incorporated in bone in trace amounts and a constant remodeling activity including an ion exchange with other compartments. Ca and P are the main elements of the mineral matrix and their concentrations vary independently. Ca is the most abundant cation in the body (approximately 99%) is present in the mineral phase. The remaining 1% is present within the extracellular and intracellular fluids. Likewise, body phosphate (approximately 85%) is present in the mineral phase of bone. Ca and phosphate interact in many fundamental processes in the body due to hormonal and physicochemical factors. The relative content of Ca and P, however, is critical for sustaining mineral homeostasis and bone metabolism and their co-dependence is evident for bone growth and development. It is therefore a suitable biomarker for the assessment of bone health.

The Energy-dispersive x-ray (EDX) analytical method provides a useful tool for simultaneous elemental quantification in bone. It has the advantage of permitting the use of regular bone biopsy material and thus allowing for a unique microstructural evaluation of the degree of mineralization. By comparison with other established methods, the EDX microanalysis involves analysis of x-rays with energies characteristic of the atoms in the specimen emitted when an incident electron hits the specimen surface. Analysis of these x-rays can provide elemental maps of the distribution of important elements such as Ca, P, F, and Sr within the specimen.

Current clinical methods utilize dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) as the gold standard for in vivo noninvasive measurements for areal BMD. It uses less than 1/10th the dose of a standard chest x-ray. The DEXA scan is typically used to diagnose osteoporosis and assess an individual’s risk for developing fractures. Many studies reported that the dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) proved successful to monitor BMD changes after incorporation of different types of bone grafts.

Even though bone tissue has internal repair and regeneration capacity, healing of large-scale bone defects caused by trauma, infection and tumor still needs external interventions. Therefore, a huge demand for technologies and materials to ameliorate such kind of maladies. A group of these synthetic biomaterials are termed osteoinductive biomaterials. In vivo environment these bone graft substitutes are able to form bone, This refers to their ability to stimulate and support the proliferation and differentiation of mesenchymal progenitor cells of the host tissue when implanted in ectopic sites, together with the induction of bone formation.

NanoBone graft material (one of these osteoinductive biomaterials) is a recently developed and approved granular material used in bone regeneration; it consists of synthetic nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite embedded in a silica gel matrix mimicking the structure of normal bone tissue. NanoBone graft possesses several properties that enhance bone regeneration. It has similar architecture to normal bone which enhances blood circulation within the NanoBone graft. The nano-roughness on the surface of the graft is the same as that of normal bone which provides a better medium for osteoblasts to grow and function. It also has a large surface area to volume ratio that increases adsorption of proteins such as fibronectin and vitronectin which mediate osteoblastic adhesion. So unlike other synthetic bone substitutes, NanoBone graft has osseoconductive and osseopromotive properties. The special structure of NanoBone results in an extremely fast bone formation. NanoBone may also be dosed with antibiotics which are released when bacteria enter the site of the joint replacement improving the chances of the implant being accepted by the body. Animal experiments using this nanocrystalline hydroxy-appatite (ncHA) in the mini pig critical size defect model showed a significantly higher rate of bone formation compared to other HA and tricalcium phosphate (TCP) materials or gelatin sponges and a nearly complete resorption 8 months after implantation.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study were held according to the recommendations of the ethics committee in the animals experimentation of the faculty (REC-PD-21-05)

The sample size calculation

We used Steven K. Thompson equation to calculate the sample size, from the next formula:

\[ n = \frac{N \times p \times (1-p)}{\frac{Z^2}{1} + p(1-p)} \]

Where:
- \( n \): sample size (42.77)
- \( N \): Population size (48)
- \( Z \): Confidence level at 95% (1.96)
- \( d \): Error proportion (0.05)
- \( p \): Probability (50%)

Surgical procedures

A bone drill defect (3mm) was created in the right tibia (two holes in each tibia) of 48 rabbits, upper hole was left empty while the lower hole was packed with NanoBone. 2 weeks after the surgery, 24 rabbits were sacrificed. The remaining animals were sacrificed 4 weeks after the surgery that created the bone defect. After the sacrifice, the tibiae were removed, Half of the The bone specimens (12 tabiae) prepared for the routine laboratory processing, histological and histomorphometric, while The remaining specimens (12 tabiae) for bone mineral composition determination by electron microprobe analysis (EDX) and for bone mineral density by Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) machine.

For the histological preparation, Bone sections containing defect sites were washed thoroughly with normal saline and xed in 10% formalin for 7 days. Subsequently, the bone sections were decalcified in 5% nitric acid and checked regularly for decalcification. Once the bone pieces became exible, transparent and easily penetrable by pins, they were considered to be completely decalcied. The tissues were processed in a routine procedure and 4 mm sections were cut and stained with haemotoxylin and eosin.

For the morphometric analysis of newly formed bone, the region of bone repair previously identified in the histopathological observation for each specimen was measured, (Five fields were measured from each specimens). The data were obtained using Leica Qwin 500 image analyzer computer system (England).

For bone mineral density, Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) machine with the software for small animals present at the National Center for Research, was used for measuring bone mineral density of the regenerated bone.

For bone mineral composition determination, using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM Model Quanta 250) FEG (Field Emission Gun) attached with EDX Unit (Energy Dispersive X-ray Analyses), with accelerating voltage 30 K.V., magnification14x up to 100000 and resolution for Gun.1n) was used in this study.

All The specimens that were used for EDX were at first scanned by (DEXA).

Then all the data were submitted to ANOVA and Tukey’s test.

Statistical analysis

Data management and statistical analysis were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 18. Numerical data were summarized using means and standard deviations. Data were explored for normality by checking the data distribution and using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests.

Comparisons between the 2 groups with respect to normally distributed numeric variables were done using the independent t-test. Comparison of 2 and 4 weeks within the same group was performed by paired t test.
Pearson correlation test was used to study correlation between clinical and radiographic results. The Pearson correlation coefficient is used to measure the strength of a linear association between two variables, as follows:

Exactly –1. A perfect negative linear relationship.

0 No linear relationship

Exactly +1. A perfect uphill (positive) linear relationship

All p-values are two-sided. P-values ≤0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Histological analysis revealed that; on the 2 weeks post-surgery, all animals presented the empty defect holes filled by delicate and intertwined bone trabeculae, and the intertrabecular space filled by conjunctive tissue. The neoformed trabeculae contained large osteocytes and were surrounded by cuboid osteoblasts in all specimens (Fig. 1)

While in nano bone filled bone defect microscopic examination most of the nano bone granules located within the bony defects were surrounded by new trabecular bone tissue. Areas of woven bone could be detected among the growing bone trabeculae. Haversian canal was detected in neobone trabeculae (Fig. 2).

After 4 weeks: More than 90 % of the critical size of empty defect holes has re-grown with new bone trabeculae enclosing variable sized haversian canals (Fig. 3).

In nano bone filled defects, all specimens were characterized by a layer of neoformed bone joining the borders of the defect, as a bridge, The neoformed...
bone trabeculae were dense and the intertrabecular fibrous conjunctive tissue was substituted by hematoipoietic tissue in all specimens. The bone trabeculae contained small osteocytes, reverse lines, and flattened osteoblasts in the periosteal region. At the surgical borders, there was union of the neoformed bone with the mature adjacent bone (Fig. 4).

Fig. 4: A Photomicrograph of NanoBone holes (2 weeks postoperatively) showing: regularly distributed mature lamellar bone (*), haversian system configuration (black rings), osteocytes (black arrow) (H&E, 200X)

Statistical analysis
I-For Histological results
I-a- Comparison between groups
At 2 weeks, a higher mean value was recorded in nano group (74.96±11.33), in comparison to 54.67±11.64 in empty group, with a mean difference between groups (26.42±4.02). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 1, Fig.5)

At 4 weeks, a higher mean value was recorded in nano group (57.32±10.84), in comparison to 30.90±8.75 in empty group, with a mean difference between groups (26.30±4.69). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 1, Fig.5)

I-b- Comparison of 2 and 4 weeks within the same group
In empty group, a higher mean value was recorded at 4 weeks (54.67±11.64), in comparison to 30.90±8.75 at 2 weeks, with a mean difference between both observations was (23.77±4.20). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 2, Fig.5)

In nano group, a higher mean value was recorded at 4 weeks (74.96±11.33), in comparison to (57.32±10.84) at 2 weeks, with a mean difference between groups (17.65±4.53). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 2, Fig.5)

Table (1) Descriptive statistics and comparison of area percent in both groups (independent t test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-26.42</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>-34.78</td>
<td>18.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano</td>
<td>57.32</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>-26.42</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>-34.78</td>
<td>18.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>54.67</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>-20.30</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>-30.02</td>
<td>10.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano</td>
<td>74.96</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>-20.30</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>-30.02</td>
<td>10.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level p≤0.05, *significant
Fig. (5) Bar chart illustrating histological area percent results in both groups at 2 and 4 weeks

### II-Results of bone density

#### II-a- Comparison between groups

At 2 weeks, a higher mean value was recorded in nano group (0.21±0.01), in comparison to 0.17±0.00 in empty group, with a mean difference between groups (0.04±0.00). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 3, Fig.6)

At 4 weeks, a higher mean value was recorded in nano group (0.24±0.01), in comparison to 0.18±0.00 in empty group, with a mean difference between groups (0.06±0.00). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 3, Fig.6)

#### II-b- Comparison of 2 and 4 weeks within the same group

In empty group, a higher mean value was recorded at 4 weeks (0.18±0.00), in comparison to 0.17±0.00 at 2 weeks, with a mean difference between both observations was (0.01±0.00). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 4, Fig.3)

In nano group, a higher mean value was recorded at 4 weeks (0.24±0.01), in comparison to 0.21±0.01 at 2 weeks, with a mean difference between groups (0.03±0.00). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 4, Fig.3)

### Table (2) Comparison of area percent histological results at 2 and 4 weeks within the same group (paired t test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-23.77</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>-32.52</td>
<td>-15.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-23.77</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>-32.52</td>
<td>-15.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>54.67</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano</td>
<td>57.32</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>-17.65</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>-27.04</td>
<td>-8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>57.32</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>-17.65</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>-27.04</td>
<td>-8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>74.96</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level p≤0.05, *significant
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Fig. (5) Bar chart illustrating histological area percent results in both groups at 2 and 4 weeks
III-Results of Calcium and Phosphorus value

III-a- Comparison between groups

Calcium

At 2 weeks, a higher mean value was recorded in nano group (21.28±0.02), in comparison to 8.59±0.04 in empty group, with a mean difference between groups (12.7±0.01). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 5, Fig.7)

At 4 weeks, a higher mean value was recorded in nano group (32.11±0.04), in comparison to 21.8±0.03 in empty group, with a mean difference between groups (10.31±0.01). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 5, Fig.7)
**Phosphorus**

At 2 weeks, a higher mean value was recorded in nano group (7.16±0.03), in comparison to 2.82±0.07 in empty group, with a mean difference between groups (4.33±0.02). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 5, Fig.7)

At 4 weeks, a higher mean value was recorded in nano group (15.84±0.11), in comparison to 10.45±0.03 in empty group, with a mean difference between groups (5.39±0.03). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 5, Fig.7)

**III-b- Comparison of 2 and 4 weeks within the same group**

**Empty group**

Regarding Calcium, a higher mean value was recorded at 4 weeks (21.8±0.03), in comparison to 8.59±0.04 at 2 weeks, with a mean difference between both observations was (13.21±0.01). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 6, Fig.7)

Regarding Phosphorus, a higher mean value was recorded at 4 weeks (10.45±0.03), in comparison to (2.82±0.07) at 2 weeks, with a mean difference between groups (7.62±0.02). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 6, Fig.7)

**In nano group**

Regarding Calcium, a higher mean value was recorded at 4 weeks (32.11±0.04), in comparison to 21.28±0.02 at 2 weeks, with a mean difference between both observations was (10.83±0.01). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 6, Fig.7)

Regarding Phosphorus, a higher mean value was recorded at 4 weeks (15.84±0.11), in comparison to (7.16±0.03) at 2 weeks, with a mean difference between groups (8.68±0.03). Independent t test revealed that the difference was statistically significant (p=0.00), (Table 6, Fig.7)

| Table (5) Descriptive statistics and comparison of EDX results in both groups (independent t test) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Mean | Std. Dev. | Difference | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | T | P |
| | Mean | Std. Error | Lower | Upper | |
| Calcium 2 weeks | Empty | 8.59 | .04 | -12.70 | .01 | -12.72 | -12.67 | -964.66 | .00* |
| | Nano | 21.28 | .02 | | | | | | |
| 4 weeks | Empty | 21.80 | .03 | -10.31 | .01 | -10.34 | -10.29 | -768.26 | .00* |
| | Nano | 32.11 | .04 | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus 2 weeks | Empty | 2.82 | .07 | -4.33 | .02 | -4.38 | -4.29 | -206.46 | .00* |
| | Nano | 7.16 | .03 | | | | | | |
| 4 weeks | Empty | 10.45 | .03 | -5.39 | .03 | -5.46 | -5.32 | -165.90 | .00* |
| | Nano | 15.84 | .11 | | | | | | |

Significance level p≤0.05, *significant
Association of DEXA with EDX in Evaluation of Inorganic Bone Components After Application of Nano bone For Repair of Bone Defect

**Table (6) Comparison of EDX results at 2 and 4 weeks within the same group (paired t test)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>2 W</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-13.21</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-13.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 W</td>
<td>21.80</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-13.24</td>
<td>-13.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>2 W</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-7.62</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 W</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-7.58</td>
<td>-7.58</td>
<td>-356.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>2 W</td>
<td>21.28</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-10.83</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-10.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 W</td>
<td>32.11</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>-10.81</td>
<td>-10.81</td>
<td>-875.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>2 W</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-8.68</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 W</td>
<td>15.84</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-8.61</td>
<td>-8.61</td>
<td>-269.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level p≤0.05, *significant

**Fig. (7) Bar chart illustrating EDX results in both groups at 2 and 4 weeks**

**Table (7) Correlation between Bone density using DEXA and Calcium & Phosphorus value using EDX results (Pearson correlation test)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DEXA</th>
<th>EDX.Ca</th>
<th>EDX.P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEXA</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation (R)</td>
<td>.881**</td>
<td>.811**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>Strong positive</td>
<td>Strong positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDX.Ca</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation (R)</td>
<td>.881**</td>
<td>===</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>Strong positive</td>
<td>Strong positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDX.P</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation (R)</td>
<td>.811**</td>
<td>.967**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>Strong positive</td>
<td>Strong positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level p≤0.05, *significant

**IV- Correlation between Bone density using DEXA and Calcium & Phosphorus value using EDX**

DEXA showed a strong positive correlation with EDX calcium and phosphorus (p=0.00), (Table 7, Fig. 8-9)

EDX calcium and EDX phosphorus showed a strong positive correlation (p=0.00), (Table 7, Fig.10)
DISCUSSION

Although autogenous bone grafts are considered the gold standard for bone regeneration, they have certain limitations, including patient morbidity at the harvest site. Synthetic bone substitutes have been developed to overcome some of these limitations.

Thus, the aim of this study is to correlate between DEXA and EDX after bone healing in an animal model after using bone substitutes.

The rabbit was chosen in this study due to ease of handling and observing as it’s very docile and non-aggressive. On other hand their bones heal faster, only 6 weeks was needed for osseointegration to take place. In addition to some similarities are reported in the bone mineral density and subsequently the fracture toughness of mid-diaphyseal bone between rabbits and human beings (26).

The tibia was chosen instead of jaw, as it provides a beneficial surgical site, since it is not affected by bacterial infection and trauma from chewing, also the double layer suturing (first deep soft tissue and then the skin) prevents unwanted wound site exposure.

The diameter of the defect greatly influences the rate, speed, and quality of healing (27). In animal models, defects greater than 3 mm in diameter tend to heal slower than smaller defects. In current study we made standardized defects of 3 mm diameters (28).

It is essential to verify whether the substituted material complied with the concept of “critical size defects”. This concept has different thresholds according to the animal species and the site of the defect.

Almeida J, 2007; Arisawa E, 2008; Nascimento S, 2010 considered that, surgical circumscribed bone defects in the rat femur with a recommended standard 3.7 mm diameter, is the critical hole size (29-31).

DEXA was used to measure bone density. DEXA is useful for verifying the effects of food or medicines on bone disease such as osteoporosis by analysis BMD changes. Other benefits include cost effectiveness and less time consuming (32,33).

Energy-dispersive x ray (EDX) microanalysis involves analysis of x rays with energies characteristic of the atoms in the specimen emitted when an incident electron hits the specimen surface. Analysis
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In group II (4 weeks postoperatively) of the current study the histological analysis showed advance in the course of bone healing in the experimental groups. In empty holes the newly formed bone exhibited primary new osteons and enclosed variable size of marrow cavities. The neoformed bone displayed small island of woven bone as well as randomly distributed osteocytes. On other hand, the NanoBone treated holes showed multiple primary osteon taking Haversian system conformation. The bone lamellae become more organized enclosed normally appeared osteocytes within their lacunae.

Such results in 4 and 6 weeks were in accordance with the histomorphometric results which revealed that a higher mean value was recorded in nano group, in comparison to empty group. The difference between groups was statistically significant.

The presence of granulation tissue is considered an evidence of early stages of healing. Marquez et al., 2013 described the healing process of the tooth sockets as it follows a well-defined course, showing typical histological features of the bone healing stages including inflammation, formation of granulation tissue and primary bone tissue as well as its replacement by lamellar bone. (38)

In the present study DEXA was used for the elemental analysis for calcium and phosphorous and to compare their different percentages in the newly formed bone. This in accordance with Mossaad Aida M et al 2021 who used DEXA as a rapid diagnostic tool to determine the densitometric quality of regenerated bone at the site of bone marrow and platelet-rich membrane grafting technique at unilateral alveolar cleft region. (42)

The noninvasive (DEXA) and invasive (EDX) methods in BMD measurements of small animals were carried out to complement the information already obtained from histological analysis.

In the present study DEXA was used for the elemental analysis for calcium, P, F, and Sr within the specimen. EDX analysis provides accurate information on the chemical elements present in the biomaterial and surrounding tissues, discloses the graft’s resorptive changes, and may reveal changes in healing process (34). The elemental analysis of the current study was done using EDX for calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P) which are the main constituents of hydroxyapatite crystals that represent the main component of the inorganic part of the bone tissue (35).

However, EDX techniques had some limitations, it does not provide an in vivo description of the tissues surrounding the graft material, neither quantifying the percentage of new bone, residual graft nor connective tissue. Also the need to dehydrate and coat the specimen with a conductive coating. (36, 37) Thus, complementary histological analysis was done.

The histological results in the present investigation showed different histological pattern of bone regeneration between all experimental groups. In group I (2 weeks postoperatively) the empty holes revealed delicate irregularly very thin newly formed bone trabeculae. On other hand the neoformed bone in other experimental treated holes appear more thicker than that of empty. The newly formed bone trabeculae was immature and lacked Haversian pattern. These trabeculae enclosed fibrocellular marrow cavities as well as enclosing randomly distributed osteocytes in some areas and devoid of osteocytes in other areas.

The histological results of bone regeneration in different groups showed different histological pattern. In group I (2 weeks postoperatively) the empty holes revealed delicate irregularly very thin newly formed bone trabeculae. On other hand the neoformed bone in other experimental treated holes appear more thicker than that of empty. The newly formed bone trabeculae was immature and lacked Haversian pattern. These trabeculae enclosed fibrocellular marrow cavities as well as enclosing randomly distributed osteocytes in some areas and devoid of osteocytes in other areas.

The presence of granulation tissue is considered an evidence of early stages of healing. Marquez et al., 2013 described the healing process of the tooth sockets as it follows a well-defined course, showing typical histological features of the bone healing stages including inflammation, formation of granulation tissue and primary bone tissue as well as its replacement by lamellar bone. (38)
EDX microanalysis confirmed the expected changes in mineral contents and correlated with the bone density measurement.

EDX measurement revealed that the higher mean value of both calcium and phosphorus was recorded in nano groups, in comparison to empty groups. The difference between groups was statistically significant.

Our results were in accordance with Nitin S, et al., 2016 who studied the ability of a functionally designed 3D scaffold to bridge critical size defects and induce new bone formation in a New Zealand white rabbit tibial model, and evaluated the Ca and P content using a combination of techniques from week 2 to 25. One of them was energy dispersive X-ray (EDX). EDX analysis showed the Ca/P value to be 1.63 for the normal bone. It was shown that a deviation from the ideal hydroxyapatite stoichiometry value of Ca/P (1.67) happens in the preliminary stage of bone formation. Such a deviation can be explained on the basis of the presence of a precursor of hydroxyapatite in immature bones. EDX analysis was performed for samples and Ca/P values were found to be nearly 1.67 at 14 and 25 weeks, which was significantly higher than the previous time points, suggesting increased mineral deposition at week 14. They concluded that, a direct correlation was observed between the mineral density in healed bone with time. (43)

In regard to correlation between DEXA and EDX: DEXA showed a strong positive correlation with EDX calcium and phosphorus content. On other hand EDX for calcium and phosphorus showed a strong positive correlation to each other. Moreover, a remarkable correlation was observed in the various bone healing parameters used herein, i.e., DEXA, EDX and histology analysis.

Up to our knowledge, This is the first study combining the histology with DEXA and EDX to analysis all elements of bone components.

CONCLUSION

DEXA is a reliable technique to estimate BMD in a non-invasive way, hence allowing for longitudinal studies over longer periods of time while avoiding sacrificing of animals.

DEXA, EDX and histological analysis provide complete insight of bone before and after graft placement which help in assessment bone healing with and without bone graft placement.

The BMC percentage measured by DEXA was significantly correlated with minerals percentage measured by EDX.

DEXA and EDX were in a line with the histological assessment throughout the study.
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